The Balance of Physis - Notes on $\lambda \delta \gamma o \zeta$ and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$ in Heraclitus

Part One - Fragment 112

σωφρονεῖν ἀρετὴ μεγίστη, καὶ σοφίη ἀληθέα λέγειν καὶ ποιεῖν κατὰ φύσιν ἐπαίοντας. [1]

This fragment is interesting because it contains what some regard as the philosophically important words $\sigma\omega\phi\rho o\nu\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu$, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\varsigma$ and $\lambda\dot{\rho}\gamma\sigma\varsigma$.

The fragment suggests that what is most excellent [$\dot{\alpha}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$] is thoughtful reasoning [$\sigma\omega\phi\rhoo\nu\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu$] - and such reasoning is both (1) to express (reveal) meaning and (2) that which is in accord with, or in sympathy with, $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\varsigma$ - with our nature and the nature of Being itself.

Or, we might, perhaps more aptly, write - such reasoning is both an expressing of inner meaning (essence), and expresses our own, true, nature (as thinking beings) and the balance, the nature, of Being itself.

 $\underline{\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}$ [$\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \delta \varsigma$] here does not suggest what we now commonly understand by the term "word". Rather, it suggests both *a naming* (denoting), and *a telling* - not a telling as in some abstract explanation or theory, but as in a simple describing, or recounting, of what has been so denoted or so named. Which is why, in fragment 39, Heraclitus writes:

έν Πριήνηι Βίας έγένετο ὁ Τευτάμεω, οὖ πλείων λόγος ἡ τῶν ἄλλων [2]

and why, in respect of $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, Hesiod [see below under $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \acute{\epsilon} \alpha$] wrote:

ἴδμεν ψεύδεα πολλὰ λέγειν ἐτύμοισιν ὁμοῖα, ἴδμεν δ', εὖτ' ἐθέλωμεν, ἀληθέα γηρύσασθαι [3]

φύσις here suggests the Homeric ^[4] usage of nature, or character, as in Herodotus (2.5.2):

Αἰγύπτου γὰρ φύσις ἐστὶ τῆς χώρης τοιήδε

but also suggests $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (Physis) - as in fragment 123; the natural nature of all beings, beyond their outer appearance.

 $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ - commonly translated as truth - here suggests (as often elsewhere) an exposure of *essence*, of the reality, the meaning, which lies behind the outer (false) appearance that covers or may conceal that reality or meaning, as in Hesiod (*Theog*, 27-28):

ἴδμεν ψεύδεα πολλὰ λέγειν ἐτύμοισιν ὁμοῖα, ἴδμεν δ', εὖτ' ἐθέλωμεν, ἀληθέα γηρύσασθαι [3]

 $\underline{\sigma\omega\phi\rhoo\nu\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu}$ here suggests balanced (or thoughtful, measured) reasoning - but not according to some abstract theory, but instead a reasoning, a natural way or manner of reasoning, in natural balance with ourselves, with our nature as thinking beings.

Most importantly, perhaps, it is this $\sigma\omega\varphi\rho o\nu\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\nu$ which can incline us toward not committing $\mathring{\nu}\beta\rho\imath\varsigma$ (hubris; insolence), which $\mathring{\nu}\beta\rho\imath\varsigma$ is a going beyond the natural limits, and which thus upsets the natural balance, as, for instance, mentioned by Sophocles:

ὕβρις φυτεύει τύραννον: ὕβρις, εἰ πολλῶν ὑπερπλησθῆ μάταν, ἃ μὴ 'πίκαιρα μηδὲ συμφέροντα, ἀκρότατον εἰσαναβᾶσ' αἶπος ἀπότομον ὥρουσεν εἰς ἀνάγκαν, ἔνθ' οὐ ποδὶ χρησίμω χρῆται [5]

It therefore not surprising that Heraclitus considers, as expressed in fragment 112, the best person - the person with the most excellent character (that is, $\dot{\alpha}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$) - is the person who, understanding and appreciating their own true nature as a thinking being (someone who can give names to - who can denote beings, and express or recount that denoting to others), also understands the balance of Being, the true nature of beings [cf. fragment $1 - \kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\nu$ $\delta\iota\alpha\iota\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau o\nu$], and who thus seeks to avoid committing the error of hubris, but who can not only also forget this understanding, and cease to remember such reasoning:

τοῦ δὲ λόγου τοῦδ' ἐόντος ἀεὶ ἀξύνετοι γίνονται ἄνθρωποι καὶ πρόσθεν ἢ ἀκοῦσαι καὶ ἀκούσαντες τὸ πρῶτον [6]

but who can also deliberately, or otherwise, conceal what lies behind the names (the outer appearance) we give to beings, to "things".

DW Myatt 2455369.713

Notes:

- [1] Fragmentum B 112 Fragmente der Vorsokratiker, ed. H. Diels, Berlin 1903
- [2] " In Priene was born someone named and recalled as most worthy Bias, that son of Teuta."

[3]

We have many ways to conceal - to name - certain things And the skill when we wish to expose their meaning

- [4] Odyssey, Book 10, vv. 302-3
- [5] "Insolence plants the tyrant. There is insolence if by a great foolishness there is a useless over-filling which goes beyond the proper limits. It is an ascending to the steepest and utmost heights and then that hurtling toward that Destiny where the useful foot has no use..." (Oedipus Tyrannus, vv.872ff)

[6] " Although this naming and expression, which I explain, exists - human beings tend to ignore it, both before and after they have become aware of it." (Fragment 1)